## Preparation and Characterization of Poly(dimethyldiallylammonium chloride) with High Molar Mass Using High Purity Industrial Monomer

## Xu Jia, Yuejun Zhang

The Department of Pharmaceuticals and Fine Chemicals Engineering, The School of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, People's Republic of China

Received 14 March 2009; accepted 6 March 2010 DOI 10.1002/app.32426 Published online 1 June 2010 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

**ABSTRACT:** A preparation method for high molar mass poly(dimethyldiallylammonium chloride) (PDMDAAC) is reported in this article. PDMDAAC was prepared by using the high purity industrial grade dimethyldiallylammonium chloride (DMDAAC) monomer from one-step method and ammonium persulphate (APS) as the initiator. The initiator was added all at once and the reaction temperature was increased stepwise to complete the polymerization gradually. The effects of several polymerization condition variables on the intrinsic viscosity value ([η]) and monomer conversion rate (Conv.) of product PDMDAAC were investigated, respectively. The variables included:  $T_1$  (42.0 to 52.0°C),  $T_2$  (47.5 to 57.5°C),  $T_3$  (55.0 to 75.0°C), m(DMDAAC) (60.0 to 70.0%), m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) (0.25 to 0.45%), m(Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) (0 to 0.0071%).

#### INTRODUCTION

Poly(dimethyldiallylammonium chloride) (PDMDAAC) was successfully synthesized by George Butler, after its homologues Poly(dimethyldiallylammonium bromide) was made in 1957.<sup>1</sup> Thereafter, the interests in research on this polymer have never diminished because of its important applications in the fields of paper making, coal mineral beneficiation, dyeing, petroleum, domestic chemicals, pharmaceuticals, water treatment, and so on.<sup>2,3</sup> It is especially useful in municipal engineering where it is used as a coagulant and flocculant in raw and waste water treatment.<sup>4,5</sup> Under an optimum condition of  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C, m(DMDAAC) = 65.0%, m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35%, m(Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035%, the maximum [ $\eta$ ] of obtained product PDMDAAC reached 3.43 dL/g, at a Conv. of 100.00%. The  $M_w$  of the product measured with GPC-MALLS was  $1.034 \times 10^6$ , polydespersity  $M_w/M_n$  was 2.421, and the  $R_g$  was 60.3 nm. The structure and properties of products were characterized by FTIR and NMR. Thermal decomposition was determined by TGA-DSC. © 2010 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 118: 1152–1159, 2010

**Key words:** poly(dimethyldiallylammonium chloride); dimethyldiallylammonium chloride; polyelectrolytes; watersoluble polymers; radical polymerization

Therefore, new developments on its preparation,<sup>6,7</sup> application,<sup>8,9</sup> reaction mechanism,<sup>10–12</sup> and the relationships between structure and properties<sup>13–16</sup> have being hot topics all the time.

Figure 1(a,b) shows the main structural units of the linear polymer PDMDAAC reported in the literature; Figure 1(c) shows a segment of a pendant double bond that occurs in a low percentage (<3%).<sup>1,2</sup>

Concerning the preparation processes, the most interesting thing for the researchers is how to obtain product PDMDAAC with high molar mass (measured as intrinsic viscosity  $[\eta]$ ) through a simple, economic, and effective method, as higher molar mass of the product means an extended range of application is possible.<sup>2,3</sup> Generally, the monomer dimethyldiallylammonium chloride (DMDAAC) prepared in laboratory or purchased commercially is used as the raw material for synthesizing PDMDAAC.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the commercial preparation processes for highmolecular-weight PDMDAAC are happened by the difficulty supplying adequate amounts of high purity monomer, as there are a lot of impurities in commercially available monomer. As a result, the production of high molar mass PDMDAAC on a

*Correspondence to:* Y. Zhang (zhyuejun@mail.njust.edu. cn).

Contract grant sponsor: National Key Technologies R&D Program of "the Tenth Five Years Plan"; contract grant number: 2003BA327C.

Contract grant sponsor: Social Development Program of Jiangsu Province for Pollution Controlling of Taihu Lake; contract grant number: BS2007121.

Contract grant sponsor: Science Plan Foundation of Nanjing City; contract grant number: 04d251016.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 118, 1152–1159 (2010) © 2010 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



Figure 1 The structure units of PDMDAAC.<sup>1,2</sup>

large scale is not achievable, and its application are limited in practical.<sup>2</sup>

Until now, the highest reported intrinsic viscosity values of PDMDAAC were 3.28 dL/g (measurment method was not indicated) prepared with laboratorymade monomer and ammonium persulphate (APS),<sup>17</sup> 1.71 dL/g prepared with industrial grade monomer (1.0 mol/L NaCl as solvent, measured by using Ubbelohde Viscometer in  $30.0^{\circ}C \pm 0.1^{\circ}C$  water bath, with conditions the same as below),<sup>18</sup> and 3.17 dL/g prepared with the purified industrial monomer before polymerization.<sup>19</sup> Obviously, it is difficult to prepare PDMDAAC with high molar mass using industrial grade monomer because of its higher content of impurities. It is also well known from literatures cited above that high molar mass PDMDAAC could be realized in industry not only needs high purity industrial monomer but also should be based on a proper polymerization method.

In this article, a polymerization method of using APS as an initiator, adding the initiator all at once and rising the temperature stepwise to complete the polymerization gradually, was researched for obtaining the high molar mass PDMDAAC by using the high purity industrial monomer from one-step method.<sup>20,21</sup> Meanwhile, the effects of the polymerization conditions on intrinsic viscosity value ([η]) and monomer conversion rate (Conv.) of product PDMDAAC were investigated, to supply a basement for the industrialization of the method and the further researches of mechanism. Moreover, the structures,  $M_{w}$  and thermal stability of the DMDAAC and PDMDAAC were investigated.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Materials

Ammonium persulphate (APS) (AR grade, Degussa-AJ (Shanghai) Initiators Co., China), Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA (AR grade, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., China), NaCl (AR grade, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., China).

High purity industrial monomer, DMDAAC in solution made from an industrial One-step method was produced according to a literature method<sup>20,21</sup> (Industrial grade, Anhui Wuhu Aini Cosmetic Co., China). The impurities contained were as follows, dimethylallyl amine  $\leq 16 \text{ mg/kg}$ , dimethylallyl amine hydrochloride  $\leq 105 \text{ mg/kg}$ , dimethyl amine hydrochloride  $\leq 197 \text{ mg/kg}$ , NaCl  $\leq 8834 \text{ mg/kg}$ , allyl alcohol was below 5 mg/kg, Fe and Cu were below 0.1 mg/L and 0.2 mg/L, respectively. The contents of other impurities such as allyl chloride, dimethylallyl amine, and allyl aldhyde were all below 1 mg/kg.

# The determination of $[\eta]$ and Conv. of PDMDAAC products

The determination of  $[\eta]$  was carried out according to a literature method (1.0 mol/L NaCl as solvent, measured by using Ubbelohde Viscometer in 30.0°C  $\pm$  0.1°C water bath).<sup>2,22</sup>

One can calculate the reacted monomer amount, i.e., monomer conversion rate (Conv.), from the amount of unreacted double bond, i.e., the residual double bond amount, using the following equation by assuming that only a tiny amount of terminal double bonds exist.

The residual double bonds were measured by the Bromate-Bromide Titration Method (Chinese National Standard GB12005.3–89), and the corresponding calculation was made.

Conv. (%) =  $(1 - \text{unreacted DMDAAC mole} \text{ amount/total DMDAAC mole amount}) \times 100.00\%$ 

i.e., Conv. (%) =  $(1 - \text{residual double bond mole amount/total double bond mole amount}) \times 100.00\%$ .

#### The preparation of PDMDAAC

Placed a monomer solution with 28.0 g pure DMAAAC into a four neck flask equipped with a thermometer and a water bath. Added a certain amount of initiator APS, Na4EDTA solution, and deionized water to adjust the m(DMDAAC) (the weight percent of DMDAAC), *m*(APS) : *m*(DMDAAC) (the mass ratio), and  $m(Na_4EDTA)$  : m(DMDAAC)(the mass ratio) in the reaction mixture to the required values. Then stirred and purged the reaction mixture under a nitrogen atmosphere at a temperature below 40°C for 20 min. The solution temperature was increased in steps to  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ . The solution temperature was maintained for 3 h at each temperature step. Then, we cooled down the temperature of the reaction mixture after the polymerization was completed. After a total of 9 h reaction time, a gel-like PDMDAAC product was obtained.

Based on the optimization experiments (orthogonal experiments), the obtained best condition is as follows:  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C,  $m(DMDAAC) = 65.0^{\circ}$ ,  $m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35^{\circ}$ ,  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035^{\circ}$ , the corresponding product's [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. were 3.44 dL/g and 100.00%, respectively.

Furthermore, we were also monitoring the residual monomer present for each 1 h reaction time during the course of polymerization.

Meanwhile, the effects of polymerization condition variables on the products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. were investigated over a range of  $T_1$  from 42.0 to 52.0°C,  $T_2$  from 47.5 to 57.5°C,  $T_3$  from 55.0 to 75.0°C, m(DMDAAC) from 60.0 to 70.0%, m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) from 0.25 to 0.45%, and  $m(Na_4EDTA)$  : m(DMDAAC) from 0 to 0.0071%, respectively. Here, it should be pay attention to when one of variables changes within the range, the others keep unchanged values based on the optimum conditions.

#### Characterization

The DMDAAC solution was stripped under vacuum to a dried solid, and then kept in vacuum. Polymer sample was dried at 100°C for 6 h, then grinded into a powder.

FTIR spectra were measured by using a MB154S spectrophotometer (Bomem, Canada) and KBr pellets. In the FTIR spectra of the monomer DMDAAC and polymer PDMDAAC with [ $\eta$ ] 3.43 dL/g and Conv. 100.00%, the peaks in  $v_{C-H}$  3012 and 1477 cm<sup>-1</sup> were the asymmetrical stretch vibration and bending vibration of methyl, respectively. The peaks in  $v_{C-H}$  2941 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $v_{C-H}$  2867 cm<sup>-1</sup> were the asymmetrical stretch vibration of methylene, respectively.

<sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded in D<sub>2</sub>O on a NRX-300MH spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. In the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of DMDAAC, the peaks  $\delta = 49.5$ , 66.1, 124.4, and 129.1 ppm were the absorption of  $-CH_{3}$ , -CH<sub>2</sub>-, -CH=, and CH<sub>2</sub>= in DMDAAC, respectively. In the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of PDMDAAC, the peak  $\delta = 26.6$  ppm was the absorption of  $-CH_{2^{-}}$  in polymer main chain, peaks  $\delta = 38.7$ , 38.2 and  $\delta$ -CH— and = 52.3, 54.8 ppm were the absorption of - $-CH_2$  - in the polymer five member ring. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of DMDAAC, the peak  $\delta = 2.88$ ppm was the absorption of  $-CH_3$  linking with N, peak  $\delta = 3.74$  ppm was the absorption of  $-CH_{2-}$  $(J_{-CH2-CH=} = 0.02451 \text{ ppm})$ , peaks  $\delta = 5.57 \text{ and } 5.89$ ppm were the multiple absorption of CH2-CH- $(J_{CH2=CH-} = 0.03298 \text{ and } 0.05533 \text{ ppm})$ . In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of PDMDAAC, the peak  $\delta = 3.69$ ppm was the absorption of -CH<sub>2</sub>- linking with N, peak  $\delta = 2.56$  ppm was the absorption of  $\frac{-CH^2}{1}$ in the polymer five member ring. Peak  $\delta = 2.99$  ppm was the absorption of  $-CH_3$  linking with N. Peak  $\delta$ = 1.17 and 1.40 ppm were the absorption of  $-CH_{2^-}$ in the main chain.

The weight-average molar mass  $(M_w)$  of polymers were determined with a DAWN HELEOS GPC-MALLS system (Wyatt, USA) by using 0.5 mol/L NaCl solution as mobile phase. The polymer sample solution was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane (Glass Microfiber filter, Whatman, USA). A value of dn/dc = 0.170 was used.<sup>23</sup>

The thermal decomposition was recorded on DSC/DTA/TG (STA449C) Jupiter (Netzsch, Germany) by using a 0.3000 mg polymer sample in an  $Al_2O_3$  pan. The scan was performed from 30 to 900°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## The effects of reaction temperature

 $T_1$ 

Figure 2 shows the effect of  $T_1$  on products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. It was obvious that the [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. increased from 2.22 to 3.43 dL/g and 92.43 to 100.00%, respectively, when  $T_1$  increased from 42.0 to 46.0°C. When  $T_1$  increased to higher than 50.0°C, the [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. decreased rapidly because of an uncontrollable exothermic polymerization. [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. were only 0.93 dL/g and 82.31% when  $T_1$  reached 52.0°C.

The main effect of  $T_1$  is to promote the initiator decomposition rate for proper starting free radical concentration. If  $T_1$  is too low, the effective polymerization for forming polymers could not be obtained because of the low concentration of free radicals. If  $T_1$  is too high, high molecular PDMDAAC could not be formed either, because of the too high concentration of free radicals and rapid polymerization rate which easily leads to the fast termination rate. The both situations above would lead to a lower value of product's [ $\eta$ ] and Conv.<sup>24</sup>



**Figure 2** The effect of  $T_1$  on  $[\eta]$  and Conv. when  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C, m(DMDAAC) = 65.0%, m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35%, m(Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035%. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]



**Figure 3** The effect of  $T_2$  on  $[\eta]$  and Conv. when  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C,  $m(DMDAAC) = 65.0^{\circ}$ ,  $m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35^{\circ}$ ,  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035^{\circ}$ . [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]

 $T_2$ 

Figure 3 shows the effect of  $T_2$  on products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. It was evident that the [ $\eta$ ] increased from 2.89 to 3.43 dL/g as  $T_2$  increased from 47.5 to 52.5°C. If  $T_2$  increased further, the [ $\eta$ ] decreased rapidly and reached 2.82 dL/g when  $T_2$  increased to 57.5°C; however, the Conv. was consistently higher than 99.22% over the entire set.

To promote the formation of polymer, the main effect of  $T_2$  is to maintain the proper concentration of free radicals formed from APS decomposition for polymerization.  $T_2$  needs to be greater than  $T_1$  because of the lower concentration of APS at this stage. However, the effect of  $T_2$  on  $[\eta]$  is not so obviously as  $T_1$ , as a certain amount of polymer has formed already, which means formation of glassy state and diffusion limitation of monomer and initiator. However,  $T_3$  will burn out the residual monomer, so the Conv. is still high.

 $T_3$ 

Figure 4 shows the effect of  $T_3$  on products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. It was clear that the [ $\eta$ ] increased from 1.94 to 3.43 dL/g when  $T_3$  increased from 55.0 to 65.0°C. If  $T_3$  was increased further, [ $\eta$ ] decreased rapidly and reached 1.29 and 1.15 dL/g when  $T_3$  increased to 70°C and 75°C, respectively, because of the cross-linking occurred in the product. However, the Conv. was consistently higher than 98.08% over the entire set.

The main effect of  $T_3$  is to accelerate the decomposition of residual initiator APS to promote the polymerization of the residual monomer in the reaction system and increase the average molar mass  $M_w$  of product PDMDAAC. If  $T_3$  is too low, the polymerization reaction of the residual monomer cannot be completed, high molar mass and monomer conversion rate cannot be obtained. If  $T_3$  is too high, the high temperature can overcome the activation energy allowing pendant double bonds to polymerize with each other, leading to a slight crosslinking and resulting in a crosslinked product, i.e., a low [ $\eta$ ] of product PDMDAAC with high Conv. As the measurements of [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. are carried out by testing dissolved PDMDAAC solutions, insoluble crosslink segment is filtered out before the measurement, which results in low [ $\eta$ ] values.

#### The coordination effects of $T_1$ – $T_3$

Conventionally, free radical polymerization process in aqueous solution is carried out by the dropwise addition of the initiator solution for maintaining a low free radical concentration in a reaction medium.<sup>17</sup> This is necessary, so the PDMDAAC products have a high molar mass and monomer Conv. As the processing of synthesizing PDMDAAC is carried out in a high concentration of reactant, gel is formed in a short time after the beginning of the polymerization, which leads the diffusion limitation of the monomer and initiator, when the dropwise method of adding initiator was used.<sup>17</sup> As a result, neither the  $[\eta]$  nor Conv. is high because of the incomplete polymerization. However, the polymerization method of preparing PDMDAAC through adding the initiator all at once and rising the temperature stepwise to complete the polymerization gradually is used in our research to decompose the initiator stepwise both to form the macro molecules at the beginning of the reaction, i.e.,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  period, and to complete the residual monomer



**Figure 4** The effect of  $T_3$  on  $[\eta]$  and Conv. when  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $m(DMDAAC) = 65.0^{\circ}$ ,  $m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35^{\circ}$ ,  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035^{\circ}$ . [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]

Journal of Applied Polymer Science DOI 10.1002/app



**Figure 5** The effect of reaction time on  $[\eta]$  and Conv. when  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C,  $m(DMDAAC) = 65.0^{\circ}$ ,  $m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35^{\circ}$ ,  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035^{\circ}$ . [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]

polymerization at the end of the reaction i.e.,  $T_3$  period. It means that this method functions the same as the stepwise method of adding initiator.

The contributions of  $T_1$ – $T_3$  to the  $[\eta]$  and Conv. are different. Further understanding of the effect of temperatures on the  $[\eta]$  and Conv. could be obtained by tracing intermediates under the optimum condition for each 1 h (shown in Fig. 5).

Figure 5 shows that the  $[\eta]$  increased from 0 to 0.72 dL/g companying with the increase of Conv. from 0 to 59.15%, during the  $T_1$  reaction period. The  $[\eta]$  increased from 0.72 to 1.65 dL/g companying with the increase of Conv. from 59.15 to 71.25%, during the  $T_2$  reaction period. And  $[\eta]$  increased from 1.65 to 3.44 dL/g companying with the increase of Conv. from 71.25 to 100.00%, during the  $T_3$  reaction period. These results were well in accordance with expectation and successfully validated the rationality of the polymerization method of adding the initiator all at once and rising the temperature stepwise.

#### The effect of the *m*(DMDAAC)

Figure 6 shows the effect of m(DMDAAC) on products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. It was clear that the [ $\eta$ ] increased from 1.69 to 3.43 dL/g and Conv. increased from 84.77 to 100.00%, when m(DMDAAC) increased from 60.0 to 65.0%. But [ $\eta$ ] gradually decreased to 3.00 dL/g when m(DMDAAC) reached 70.00%, meanwhile, theConv. decreased to 91.39%.

The reason might be that the rate of polymerization increases with the monomer concentration, i.e., m(DMDAAC), and [ $\eta$ ] gradually reaches its maximum when m(DMDAAC) value is within a lower range. The rate of polymerization is accelerated as the collision between monomer molecules increases significantly with an increase of m(DMDAAC) further, e.g., higher than 65.0%. Fast polymerization happens and the formation of macromolecules causes an increase of the systemic viscosity rapidly, which leads to a difficulty in heat transfer. Then, the temperature of the reaction system increases further which results in the polymerization becoming even more rapid and more difficult for heat dissipation, this is called a process of self-acceleration<sup>24</sup> in  $T_1$  period, presenting as serious increase of the temperature and formation of the bubbles. As a result, the decomposition of the initiator is finished too early and premature autopolymerization occurs, which leads to incomplete polymerization presenting as low  $[\eta]$  and Conv.

#### The effect of the *m*(APS) : *m*(DMDAAC)

Figure 7 shows the effect of m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) on products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. It was clear that the [ $\eta$ ] increased from 3.05 to 3.43 dL/g, Conv. increased from 93.47 to 100.00%, when m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) increased from 0.25 to 0.35%. But the [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. swiftly decreased when m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) was more than 0.40% because of formation of crosslinked products. The [ $\eta$ ] fell to 1.34 dL/g when m(APS) : m(DMDAAC)) reached 0.45%, the corresponding Conv. decreased to 81.73%.

The main reason for these might be: if the initiator concentration, i.e., m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) is low, a low initiator efficiency due to cage effect causes the polymerization reaction incompletely and results in the high amount of residual monomer, i.e., low Conv. If the m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) is higher, the products' [ $\eta$ ] increases, because formed enough free



**Figure 6** The effect of m(DMDAAC) on  $[\eta]$  and Conv. when  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C, m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35%,  $m(Na_4EDTA)$  : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035%. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]



**Figure 7** The effect of m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) on [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. when  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C,  $m(DMDAAC) = 65.0^{\circ}$ ,  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.0035^{\circ}$ . [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

radicals could replenish the loss due to the cage effect and meet the needs of the polymerization. When the m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) is too high, there would be a superabundance of initiator,<sup>2</sup> which results in formation of crosslinked molecules and the product's  $[\eta]$  is small.

#### The effect of the *m*(Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA) : *m*(DMDAAC)

Figure 8 shows the effect of  $m(Na_4EDTA)$  : m(DMDAAC) on products' [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. It was clear that the [ $\eta$ ] and Conv. increased from 2.26 to 3.43 dL/g and 86.91 to 100.00% respectively, when  $m(Na_4EDTA)$  : m(DMDAAC) increased from 0 to 0.0035%. Then, the change of [ $\eta$ ] was within the range of 3.20–3.43 dL/g, the corresponding Conv. kept the values higher than 96.74%, when  $m(Na_4EDTA)$  : m(DMDAAC) increased further from 0.0035%.

A possible reason for the significant increase of  $[\eta]$  is when the low amount of Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA is enough to chelate the tiny amount of metal ions which would inhibit polymerization, it would contribute to



**Figure 8** The effect of  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC)$  on  $[\eta]$  and Conv. when  $T_1 = 46.0^{\circ}$ C,  $T_2 = 52.5^{\circ}$ C,  $T_3 = 65.0^{\circ}$ C,  $m(DMDAAC) = 65.0^{\circ}$ ,  $m(APS) : m(DMDAAC) = 0.35^{\circ}$ . [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

the polymerization.<sup>22</sup> Meanwhile, it can also play the role of a buffer to keep pH value in constant range for restraining the APS self-accelerated decomposition. Then, the initiator efficiency could be improved and  $[\eta]$  of product could be increased. However, there would be no significant changes of the  $[\eta]$  and Conv. keeping in a range of high values, when the  $m(Na_4EDTA) : m(DMDAAC)$  increased more.

#### Characterization

## FTIR

The spectrum exhibited strengths of characteristic absorbance peaks (the reciprocal of transmittance) of functional groups in monomer  $v_{C-H}$  3025, 3080, 1929, 1424 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $v_{vC-H}$  849–880 cm<sup>-1</sup>. They were all weakened or disappeared in product PDMDAAC, which showed that the monomer was polymerized completely. As the peaks of  $v_{C=C}$  and  $H_2O$  both existed at 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>, they could not be used as a proof of the double bond presence.



**Figure 9** The chromatogram curve of PDMDAAC molecular weight versus elution volume (GPC-MALLS) ( $[\eta] = 3.44$  dL/g, Conv. = 100.00%). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]



Figure 10 The molecular weight distribution of PDMDAAC ( $[\eta] = 3.44 \text{ dL/g}$ , Conv.= 100.00%). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

#### NMR

The peaks  $\delta = 124.4$  and 129.1 ppm relating to the double bound disappeared in polymer's <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum, which meant the polymerization of DMDAAC were complete. The peaks  $\delta = 5.5$  and 5.9 ppm disappeared in PDMDAACs <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum, which could also be a proof of the complete polymerization of DMDAAC.

#### GPC-MALLS

Figures 9 and 10 shows the calibration curve of molar mass (the corresponding RI and one of the MALLS signals at 90°) and the molar mass distribution of the product ( $[\eta] = 3.44$  dL/g, Conv. = 100.00%), respectively.

The result from GPC-MALLS measurement demonstrated that, the  $M_w$  of PDMDAAC was 1.034  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup>, polydispersity  $M_w/M_n$  was 2.421, and the  $R_g$  was 60.3 nm.

### TGA-DSC

Figure 11 shows the thermal stability of PDMDAAC via the measurement of its decomposition process.



**Figure 11** The TGA-DSC thermography of PDMDAAC. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

The PDMDAAC lost weight in three stages. The first stage I was from 30 to 234°C with a weight lost 9.14% and the corresponding enthalpy change  $\Delta H_{\rm I}$  was 362.8 J/g. The second stage II was from 234 to 389°C with a weight lost 30.85% and the corresponding enthalpy change  $\Delta H_{\rm II}$  576 J/g. The third stage III was from 389 to 509.6°C with a weight lost 58.6% and the corresponding enthalpy change  $\Delta H_{\rm III}$  was 314.6 J/g. The fourth stage IV was from 509.6 to 830°C without significant weight lost, but with enthalpy change  $\Delta H_{\rm IV}$  was 314.6 J/g.

## CONCLUSIONS

The polymerization method of using APS as the initiator, adding the initiator all at once and rising the temperature stepwise to complete polymerization gradually had been applied for obtaining high molecular PDMDAAC, by using the high purity industrial monomer from one-step method. A product with  $[\eta]$  3.43 dL/g and Conv. 100.00% were obtained. The FTIR and NMR spectra showed that the monomers well polymerized were to PDMDAAC. The  $M_w$  of PDMDAAC was 1.034  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup>, polydispersity  $M_w/M_n$  was 2.421, and the  $R_g$ was 60.3 nm. The PDMDAAC thermal decomposition process divided mainly in two stages. One was from 234 to 389°C with a weight lost 30.85%, and the corresponding enthalpy change  $\Delta H_{\rm II}$  was 576 J/ g. The other one was from 389 to 509.6°C with a weight lost 58.6%, and the corresponding enthalpy change  $\Delta H_{\rm III}$  was 314.6 J/g. Meanwhile, the effects of the polymerization condition variables on products'  $[\eta]$  and Conv. have been investigated.

#### References

- 1. Butler, G. B.; Angelo, R. J. J Am Chem Soc 1957, 79, 3128.
- Wandrey, C.; Hernández-Barajas, J.; Hunkeler, D. Adv Polym Sci 1999, 145, 123.
- 3. Matsumoto, A. Prog Polym Sci 2001, 26, 189.
- Wang, Y.; Gao, B. Y.; Yue, Q.; Wei, J.; Li, Q. Chem Eng J 2008, 142, 175.
- 5. Rattanakawin, C.; Hogg, R. Mine Eng 2007, 20, 1033.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science DOI 10.1002/app

- Assem, Y.; Greiner, A.; Agarwal, S. Macromol Rapid Commun 2007, 28, 1923.
- 7. Tian, B. H.; Fan, B.; Peng, X. J.; Luan, Z. K. J Environ Sci China 2005, 17, 798.
- Germain, M.; Balaguer, P.; Nicolas, J. C.; Lopez, F.; Esteve, J. P.; Sukhorukov, G. B.; Winterhalter, M.; Richard-Foy, H.; Fournier, D. Biosens Bioelectron 2006, 21, 1566.
- 9. Kidambi, S.; Sheng, L.; Yarmush, M. L.; Toner, M.; Lee, I.; Chan, C. Macromol Biosci 2007, 7, 344.
- Nemtoi, G.; Onu, A.; Aelenei, N.; Dragan, S.; Beldie, C. Eur Polym J 2000, 36, 2679.
- Koslov, G. V.; Malkanduev, Y. A.; Zaikov, G. E. J Appl Polym Sci 2004, 91, 3140.
- 12. Kozlov, G. V.; Malkanduev, Y. A.; Zaikov, G. E. J Appl Polym Sci 2004, 93, 1394.
- 13. Marcelo, G.; Pilar Tarazona, M.; Saiz, E. Polymer 2004, 45, 1321.

- 14. Liu, W. H.; Yu, T. L.; Lin, H. L. Polymer 2007, 48, 4152.
- Rivas, B. L.; Pereira, E. D.; Horta, A.; Renamayor, C. S. Eur Polym J 2004, 40, 203.
- 16. Francis, S.; Varshney, L.; Sabharwal, S. Eur Polym J 2007, 43, 2525.
- 17. Welcher, R. P.; Rabinowitz, R.; Cibulskas, A. S. U.S. Pat. 4,092467 (1978).
- Zhang, Y. J.; Yu, P. Z.; Jia, X.; Liao, H. Y.; Lu, J. Q. Fine Chem 2007, 24, 44.
- 19. Jia, X.; Zhang, Y. J. Appl Chem 2007, 24, 610.
- 20. Zhang, Y. J. CN 1,362,401 (2002).
- 21. Zhang, Y. J.; Wang, H. Y.; Jia, X.; Zhao, X. L. WO 2,006,069,504 A1 (2006).
- 22. Boothe, J. E. Macromol Sci Chem A 1970, 4, 1419.
- 23. Marcelo, G.; Tarazona, M. P.; Saiz, E. Polymer 2005, 46, 2584.
- 24. Charles, E. C. Polymer Chemistry; Marcel Dekker, Inc.: New York, 2003.